

Almost rank three graphs

A. Gardiner

Department of Mathematics, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK, B15 2TT

Received 14 December 1988

Revised 15 May 1989

In celebration of a man of vision and a man of action.

Abstract

Gardiner, A., Almost rank three graphs, Discrete Mathematics 103 (1992) 253–257.

A finite graph Γ is ‘pair-symmetric’ if $\text{Aut } \Gamma$ acts transitively on each of the following four sets of ordered pairs of vertices: (i) edges which lie in a triangle, (ii) edges which lie in no triangle, (iii) non-edges which lie in a cotriangle, (iv) non-edges which lie in no cotriangle. A pair-symmetric graph Γ is vertex-transitive, so the definition implies that $\text{Aut } \Gamma$ has rank ≤ 5 on vertices. It turns out that $\text{Aut } \Gamma$ has rank ≤ 4 , and nearly always has rank 3. We show that, with the exception of one (possibly empty) family of graphs, either $\text{Aut } \Gamma$ has rank ≤ 3 on vertices, or Γ is obtained in a natural way from certain distance-transitive antipodal coverings of complete graphs.

In a rank three graph Γ all ordered edges are equivalent under $\text{Aut } \Gamma$, as are all ordered non-edges. From a graph-theoretic point of view this assumption may appear somewhat extreme. For example, in the triangular prism \bar{C}_6 , an edge which lies in a triangle could not possibly be equivalent to an edge which does not lie in a triangle; nevertheless any two edges (resp. non-edges) which are ‘combinatorially alike’ are in fact equivalent under $\text{Aut } \bar{C}_6$. The following definition captures what we mean by ‘combinatorially alike’.

Definition. An undirected, finite graph Γ is *pair-symmetric* if $\text{Aut } \Gamma$ acts transitively on (i) ordered edges which belong to a triangle, (ii) ordered edges which belong to no triangle, (iii) ordered non-edges which belong to a cotriangle, and (iv) ordered non-edges which belong to no cotriangle.

Theorem 1. *The graph Γ is pair-symmetric if and only if*

- (i) Γ is distance-transitive and $\text{Aut } \Gamma$ has rank ≤ 3 on vertices, or
- (ii) Γ or $\bar{\Gamma}$ is obtained from a distance-transitive graph Δ of diameter 3 by joining each pair of vertices at distance 3, where Δ may be
 - (a) any 2-fold antipodal covering of a complete graph,
 - (b) any r -fold antipodal covering ($r \geq 3$) of a complete graph having girth ≥ 4 ,
 - (c) any non-bipartite graph with $a_1 = a_3 = 0$, $b_2 \geq 2$.

The graphs in (ii)(a) correspond in a uniform way to doubly-transitive permutation groups [6]. Theorems 1 and 2 in [4] give restrictions on the existence of antipodal coverings of the kind occurring in part (ii)(b). No examples of type (ii)(c) appear to be known. Our notation will be standard: see [2] for information about distance-transitive graphs, and [3, 5] for information about antipodal covering graphs.

Proof. It is not hard to see that the graphs mentioned in the theorem are all pair-symmetric. We must prove that they are the only such graphs.

Let Γ be pair-symmetric. Then $\bar{\Gamma}$ is also pair-symmetric. If u, v are vertices of Γ , then the ordered edge, or non-edge, (u, v) is of the same type as (v, u) . Hence any pair of vertices of Γ can be interchanged. In particular, Γ is vertex-transitive. If Γ is disconnected, with t (≥ 2) components, then each component is a complete graph K_n (otherwise we would have ordered non-edges (u, v) and (u, w) , with u, v in the same component and u, w in different components, and both these non-edges would lie in the cotriangle $\langle u, v, w \rangle$, but could not be equivalent under $\text{Aut } \Gamma$). Observe that such graphs $t \cdot K_n$ and their complements, the regular complete multipartite graphs $K_{t,n}$, are distance-transitive with rank ≤ 3 , and so are included under part (i) of the theorem.

We may therefore assume that both Γ and its complement $\bar{\Gamma}$ are connected. The complete graphs K_n (and their complements \bar{K}_n) are also included under part (i) of the theorem. We may therefore further assume that Γ and $\bar{\Gamma}$ have diameter ≥ 2 . We consider first the case where Γ has diameter ≥ 3 .

Lemma 2. *Let Γ be a pair-symmetric graph. If Γ has diameter ≥ 3 , then Γ is a distance-transitive 2-fold antipodal covering of a complete graph. In particular, $\text{Aut } \Gamma$ acts transitively on ordered edges and has two orbits on non-edges.*

Proof. Γ must have diameter exactly 3 (otherwise there would exist ordered non-edges (u, v) and (u, w) with $d(u, v) = 2$ and $d(u, w) = 4$, and both these non-edges would lie in the cotriangle $\langle u, v, w \rangle$ but could not be equivalent under $\text{Aut } \Gamma$).

Let $\Gamma_i(u)$ denote the set of vertices of Γ at distance i from u . If $v \in \Gamma_2(u)$ and $w \in \Gamma_3(u)$, then (u, v) must lie in a cotriangle (otherwise

$$\Gamma_1(v) \supseteq \Gamma_3(u) \cup (\Gamma_2(u) - \{v\}) \cup (\Gamma_1(v) \cap \Gamma_1(u)),$$

whereas $\Gamma_1(w) \subseteq (\Gamma_3(u) - \{w\}) \cup \Gamma_2(u)$, so $|\Gamma_1(v)| > |\Gamma_1(w)|$). But then, since (u, v) and (u, w) are obviously not equivalent under $\text{Aut } \Gamma$, (u, w) cannot lie in a cotriangle. Hence

$$\Gamma_1(w) = (\Gamma_3(u) - \{w\}) \cup \Gamma_2(u).$$

It follows that $\Gamma_1(u) = \Gamma_2(w)$, and that $\Gamma_3(w) = \{u\}$. Interchanging u and w we see that $\{w\} = \Gamma_3(u)$, $\Gamma_2(u) = \Gamma_1(w)$, so Γ is a 2-fold antipodal covering of a complete

graph. Since $\text{Aut } \Gamma$ has at most two orbits on ordered non-edges, the stabiliser $(\text{Aut } \Gamma)_u$ must act transitively on $I_2(u)$. Similarly $(\text{Aut } \Gamma)_w = (\text{Aut } \Gamma)_u$ must act transitively on $I_2(w) = I_1(u)$. Thus Γ is distance-transitive. \square

Thus if Γ or $\bar{\Gamma}$ has diameter ≥ 3 , they both occur under part (ii)(a) of the theorem. Thus we may assume that both Γ and $\bar{\Gamma}$ have diameter ≤ 2 . Taking complements if necessary, we may also assume that $|I_1(u)| \leq |I_2(u)|$ for each vertex u .

Lemma 3. *Let Γ be a connected, pair-symmetric graph for which both Γ and $\bar{\Gamma}$ have diameter 2. If $|I_1(u)| \leq |I_2(u)|$ for each vertex u , then either (a) $\Gamma = C_5$, or (b) every non-edge lies in a cotriangle. In each case $(\text{Aut } \Gamma)_u$ acts transitively on $I_2(u)$. In particular, if $|I_1(u)| = |I_2(u)|$, then Γ is distance-transitive.*

Proof. Suppose we are not in case (b); i.e., some non-edge (u, v) lies in no cotriangle. Then

$$I_1(v) = (I_2(u) - \{v\}) \cup (I_1(v) \cap I_1(u)),$$

and $|I_1(v)| = |I_1(u)| \leq |I_2(u)|$, so $|I_1(u)| = |I_2(u)| = k$ (say). It also follows that $|I_1(v) \cap I_1(u)| = 1$ whenever the non-edge (u, v) lies in no cotriangle.

Consider first the case where no non-edge lies in a cotriangle. Then $|I_1(v) \cap I_1(u)| = 1$ for every $v \in I_2(u)$, so exactly k edges join $I_1(u)$ to $I_2(u)$. Hence $|I_1(w) \cap I_2(u)| = 1$ for each $w \in I_1(u)$ (otherwise we would have $I_1(w) \cap I_2(u) = \emptyset$ for some, but not all, $w \in I_1(u)$; but then $I_1(w) = \{u\} \cup (I_1(u) - \{w\})$, so choosing $w' \in I_1(u)$ with $I_1(w') \cap I_2(u) \neq \emptyset$ we would get ordered edges (u, w) and (u, w') which both lie in the triangle $\langle u, w, w' \rangle$, but which are not equivalent under $\text{Aut } \Gamma$). Thus $\langle I_1(u) \rangle$ is regular of valency $k - 2$, whereas if $v \in I_2(u)$ and $\{w\} = I_1(v) \cap I_1(u)$, the vertex w is an isolated vertex in $\langle I_1(v) \rangle$. Hence $k = 2$ and $\Gamma = C_5$.

If we let

$$I_{21}(u) = \{v \in I_2(u) : u, v \text{ lie in no } \bar{K}_3\},$$

$$I_{22}(u) = \{v \in I_2(u) : u, v \text{ lie in a } \bar{K}_3\},$$

then we have just shown that $I_{22}(u) = \emptyset$ implies $\Gamma = C_5$ and we are in case (a). If $I_{21}(u) = \emptyset$, then we are obviously in case (b).

Thus we may assume that $I_{21}(u) \neq \emptyset \neq I_{22}(u)$, whence $k \geq 3$. Γ has $2k + 1$ vertices so the valency must be even, $k \geq 4$. Recall that $|I_1(v) \cap I_1(u)| = 1$ whenever $v \in I_{21}(u)$. Let

$$V(u) = \{w \in I_1(u) : \{w\} = I_1(v) \cap I_1(u) \text{ for some } v \in I_{21}(u)\}.$$

Then $V(u)$ is non-empty and is an $(\text{Aut } \Gamma)_u$ -orbit (since $I_{21}(u)$ is). Hence either

(i) $V(u) = \{w \in I_1(u) : u, w \text{ lie in no } K_3\}$, or

(ii) $V(u) = \{w \in I_1(u) : u, w \text{ lie in some } K_3\}$

Let $w \in V(u)$. Then $\{w\} = I_1(v) \cap I_1(u)$ for some $v \in I_{21}(u)$.

Suppose case (i) occurs. Then w is an isolated vertex in $\langle \Gamma_1(u) \rangle$, whence $|F_1(w) \cap F_2(u)| = k - 1$. But $F_1(v) \supseteq F_2(u) - \{v\}$ (or (u, v) would lie in a cotriangle). Thus w has valency $k - 2$ in $F_1(v)$; so some vertex in $F_1(u)$ has valency $k - 2$ in $F_1(u)$. Hence $\langle F_1(u) \rangle = K_{k-1} \cup K_1$, $|V(u)| = |F_{21}(u)| = 1$. But then, since $k \geq 4$, the transitivity of $(\text{Aut } \Gamma)_u$ on $F_{22}(u) = F_2(u) - \{v\}$ would mean that no vertex could be isolated in $\langle F_{22}(u) \rangle$, let alone in $F_1(v) = \langle F_{22}(u) \cup \{w\} \rangle$. Thus case (i) does not occur.

Suppose case (ii) occurs. Since $w \in V(u)$, u and w must lie in some triangle $\langle u, w, w' \rangle$ (say). Then $w' \in V(u)$, so $|V(u)| \geq 2$. Clearly $|V(u)| \leq |F_{21}(u)|$. And $|F_{21}(u)| < k$ (since $F_{22}(u) \neq \emptyset$). Thus we may choose $w'' \in F_1(u) - V(u)$. But then w'' is adjacent to $k - 1$ vertices in $F_2(u)$, but to no vertex in $F_{21}(u)$. Hence $|F_{21}(u)| = 1$, so $|V(u)| = 1$, a contradiction. \square

It will be convenient to reformulate Lemma 3 as follows.

Corollary 4. *Let $\Gamma, \bar{\Gamma}$ be pair-symmetric of diameter 2, and suppose $|F_1(u)| \leq |F_2(u)|$ for each vertex u of Γ . If $\text{Aut } \Gamma$ does not act as a rank three group on Γ , then*

- (i) $|F_1(u)| < |F_2(u)|$,
- (ii) $(\text{Aut } \Gamma)_u$ acts transitively on $F_2(u) = F_{22}(u)$ (since every non-edge lies in a cotriangle), and
- (iii) $(\text{Aut } \Gamma)_u$ has two nontrivial orbits on $F_1(u)$, namely

$$F_{11}(u) = \{w \in F_1(u); u, w \text{ lie in a } K_3\}$$

and

$$F_{12}(u) = \{w \in F_1(u); u, w \text{ lie in no } K_3\}.$$

To complete the proof of Theorem 1 we need only identify the graphs Γ of Corollary 4 (and their complements). Let Γ be such a graph, and consider the associated graph $\Delta = \Gamma_{12}$ with the same vertex set as Γ , but with u and v adjacent in Δ if and only if $v \in F_{12}(u)$. (Thus Δ is the graph obtained from Γ by erasing all those edges of Γ which lie in a triangle of Γ .) It is easy to see that the graph Δ is connected with diameter 3 and girth ≥ 4 . $\text{Aut } \Gamma = \text{Aut } \Delta$ acts distance-transitively on Δ , so Δ is distance-regular of valency $|\Delta(u)| = m$ (say). Since no two vertices of $F_{11}(u) = \Delta_3(u)$ are joined in Δ , Δ has intersection array

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & 1 & c & m \\ 0 & 0 & a & 0 \\ m & m-1 & b & * \end{pmatrix}$$

where $c + a + b = m$. Now let $|F_{11}(u)| = r - 1 \geq 2$. Then $b = 1$ if and only if $\Delta = (r \cdot K_{m+1})_c$ is a distance-transitive r -fold antipodal covering of a complete graph for some $r \geq 3$, whence we are in case (ii)(b) of Theorem 1. If $b \geq 2$, the

graph Γ (and $\tilde{\Gamma}$) occurs under case (ii)(c) of Theorem 1. This completes the proof. \square

One would like to either eliminate, or have examples of, the graphs lumped together under case (ii)(c). The corresponding graphs $\Delta = \Gamma_{12}$ (with $b \geq 2$) have several interesting properties. Firstly, if 'incidence' is interpreted as 'adjacency in Δ ', then for each vertex u , the sets $\Delta_1(u)$ and $\Delta_2(u)$ are the points and blocks of a 2-design with $\lambda = c - 1$. Secondly, the graph Δ has a second distance-transitive structure in that the graph $\Sigma = \Delta_3 = \Gamma_{11}$ is also distance-transitive [1]. Thirdly, if 'incidence' is interpreted as 'adjacency in Σ ', then the sets $\Delta_1(u)$ and $\Delta_2(u)$ are the points and blocks of another 2-design with $\lambda = b(b - 1)/c$. The existence of such graphs is one of the many open questions mentioned in [3].

References

- [1] E. Bannai and E. Bannai, How many P -polynomial structures can an association scheme have?, *European J. Combin.* 1 (1980) 289–298.
- [2] N.L. Biggs, *Algebraic Graph theory* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1974).
- [3] A.E. Brouwer, A.M. Cohen and A. Neumaier, *Distance regular graphs* (Springer, Berlin, in press).
- [4] A. Gardiner, Antipodal graphs of diameter three, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 46 (1982) 215–219.
- [5] A. Gardiner, Antipodal covering graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 16 (1974) 255–273.
- [6] E.E. Shult, The graph extension theorem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 33 (1972) 278–284.